Review Article

CME Acupuncture Analgesia: I. The Scientific Basis

Shu-Ming Wang, MD*

Zeev N. Kain, MD, MBA†‡

Paul White, PhD, MD§

Acupuncture has been used in China and other Asian countries for the past 3000 yr. Recently, this technique has been gaining increased popularity among physicians and patients in the United States. Even though acupuncture-induced analgesia is being used in many pain management programs in the United States, the mechanism of action remains unclear. Studies suggest that acupuncture and related techniques trigger a sequence of events that include the release of neurotransmitters, endogenous opioid-like substances, and activation of *c-fos* within the central nervous system. Recent developments in central nervous system imaging techniques allow scientists to better evaluate the chain of events that occur after acupuncture-induced stimulation. In this review article we examine current biophysiological and imaging studies that explore the mechanisms of acupuncture analgesia.

(Anesth Analg 2008;106:602–10)

Acupuncture is an important part of health care in Asian culture that can be traced back almost 3000 yr. This ancient Chinese intervention consists of applying pressure, needling, heat, and electrical stimulation to specific acupuncture points to restore patients to good health.[1,2] The practice of acupuncture in the United States was largely limited to Asian ethnic groups until about 30 yr ago. President Richard Nixon's visit to China in 1972 was the seminal event opening the door to Chinese medical practices. Since that time, there has been a growing interest in integrating acupuncture into Western medical practice.[3] In 1992, Congress established the Office of Alternative Medicine. Based upon the results of well-designed and appropriately controlled clinical trials, the National Institutes of Health (NIH), in November 1997, issued a statement that supported the efficacy of acupuncture for specific conditions, such as pain, nausea, and vomiting.[4] In 1998, acupuncture became the most popular complementary and alternative medicine modality prescribed by Western physicians.[5] In 1999 the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine was established within the NIH.

Despite the widespread use of acupuncture for pain management, the mechanism of acupuncture-induced analgesia remains unclear. The objective of this review article is to critically evaluate available peer-reviewed scientific literature, examining the neurophysiologic mechanisms and clinical efficacy of acupuncture analgesia. The aim of this article is not to translate the Eastern theory of acupuncture into a Western conceptual framework, but rather to provide a scientific interpretation of acupuncture analgesia and related forms of acupuncture based on peer-reviewed basic science and clinical research. We will focus on recent developments, including imaging studies, to complement other recent reviews of the biological basis of acupuncture and its electrical equivalent (electroacupuncture; EA).[6,7]

TRADITIONAL ACUPUNCTURE THEORY

Traditional Chinese acupuncture is a philosophy that focuses more on prevention than treatment of illnesses. The Chinese medical acupuncture philosophy presumes that there are two opposing and complementary forces that coexist in nature: Yin and Yang. These two forces interact to regulate the flow of "vital energy," known as Qi. When a person is in "good health," Yin and Yang are in balance, and the flow of Qi is smooth and regular. When Yin and Yang become "unbalanced," there are disturbances in Qi, which lead to illness and disease. The ancient Chinese believed that Qi flows through a network of channels called meridians, which bring

Figure 1. The locations of acupuncture points: large intestine 4 (LI4) and lung 5 (Lu 5).

Figure 2. The locations of acupuncture points: gallbladder 34 (GB34) and stomach 36 (ST36).

Qi from the internal organs to the skin surface. Along these meridians there are acupuncture points that can be stimulated to correct the imbalance and restore the body to normal health.[1]

MODERN ACUPUNCTURE THEORY

The traditional Chinese perspective is not based on anatomical, physiological, or biochemical evidence, and thus cannot form the basis of a mechanistic understanding of acupuncture. Western theories are primarily based on the presumption that acupuncture induces signals in afferent nerves that modulate spinal signal transmission and pain perception in the brain.

In 1987, Pomeranz proposed that acupuncture stimulation activates A-δ and C afferent fibers in muscle, causing signals to be transmitted to the spinal cord, which then results in a local release of dynorphin and enkephalins. These afferent pathways propagate to the midbrain, triggering a sequence of excitatory and inhibitory mediators in the spinal cord. The resultant release of neurotransmitters, such as serotonin, dopamine, and norepinephrine onto the spinal cord leads to pre and postsynaptic inhibition and suppression of the pain transmission. When these signals reach the hypothalamus and pituitary, they trigger the release of adrenocorticotropic hormones (ACTH) and endorphins. Pomeranz's theory was confirmed by a large series of experiments by his research laboratory and other investigators.[8–17] This conceptual framework for acupuncture-induced analgesia has also been investigated in a series of neurophysiologic and imaging studies over the last three decades.

Neurophysiological Studies

Volunteer Data

One of the first volunteer studies that examined the scientific basis of acupuncture analgesia was published in 1973 by a group of investigators who used a model of acute pain mediated by potassium iontophoresis with gradual increases of electrical current.[9] The volunteers were randomized to receive acupuncture at large intestine 4 (LI4) (Fig. 1) and stomach 36 (ST36) (Fig. 2) or IM morphine. The investigators found that both acupuncture and morphine increased the subjects' pain threshold by an average of 80%–90%. The acupuncture-induced increase in the pain threshold was gradual, with a peak effect at 20–40 min, followed by an exponential decay with a half-life of approximately 16 min, *despite* continued acupuncture stimulation.[9] Importantly, when the researchers injected local anesthetic into these acupuncture points before the stimulation, the acupuncture became ineffective in increasing the pain threshold (Fig. 3). This suggested that an intact sensory nervous system is essential for the transmission of acupuncture signals. The investigators also found that the analgesic effect was the same regardless of which side of the body was stimulated. Finally, a greater cumulative effect was observed when multiple acupuncture points were stimulated simultaneously.

In a follow-up study, Lim et al.[10] found that direct stimulation of peripheral nerve sensory fibers increased the pain threshold in a manner similar to that caused by standard acupuncture technique. These findings are remarkably consistent with the findings from a more recent clinical study involving the use of transcutaneous electrical stimulation for minimizing postoperative pain.[18]

Experimental Data

The difficulty in developing suitable animal models has been one of the major obstacles in the experimental study of the mechanism of acupuncture anesthesia.[11] Professor Han and his colleagues at Peking

Figure 3. The analgesic effect of acupuncture in healthy volunteers. Reproduced with permission from Ulett GA, Han S, Han JS. Biol Psychiatry, 1998, 44, 129–38, ©Elsevier.

University performed multiple trials using various animal models in search of the ideal experimental model for acupuncture research[1]. The investigators initially used a rabbit model, but later adopted a rat model because rats are commonly used in pain research and are easier to handle.

In 1973, Professor Han and his colleagues applied acupuncture stimulation to a rabbit for 30 min to achieve an analgesic effect. The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was then removed and infused into the lateral ventricle of an acupuncture-naive recipient rabbit. This resulted in an increase in the pain threshold in the recipient rabbit. The investigators concluded that acupuncture-induced analgesia was associated with the release of neuromodulatory substances into the CSF. The investigators also noted that there was no increase in analgesic response when saline or CSF from nonacupuncture control was infused into an acupuncture-naive recipient rabbit.[11]

In 1976, Pomeranz and Chiu[8], using a mouse model, found that administration of the opioid antagonist-naloxone blocked the acupuncture-induced analgesic activity. Similarly, in a human model, Sjolund and Ericksson, as well as Mayer[19,20], were able to demonstrate increased levels of endorphins in CSF after EA stimulation, *and* the reversal of acupuncture

---

[1]Direct communication with Professor Han, January 2007.

analgesia by naloxone. This again suggested the involvement of endorphins in human acupuncture analgesia. Several subsequent studies supported the hypothesis that acupuncture triggers the release of endorphins and other endogenous opioids within the central nervous system (CNS), and this appears to be responsible for the analgesic properties of acupuncture.[12,21–23] Recent EA studies also indicate that low-frequency EA induces the release of enkephalin and β-endorphin, whereas high-frequency EA induces the release of dynorphin.[24]

The development of tolerance to EA analgesia was first described in 1979 after the observation that the duration of the acupuncture analgesic effect was not directly correlated to the duration of acupuncture administration.[25] In a follow-up study, this research group described that EA applied to a rat model for a 30-min period increased the pain threshold by 89%.[26] When the EA stimulation was repeated over six consecutive sessions with 30 min between each session, however, the resulting analgesic effect diminished progressively and eventually returned to a baseline level.[26] This tolerance to acupuncture analgesia is thought to be the result of desensitization or "down regulation" of CNS opioid receptors, as well as the release of antiopioids such as cholecystokinin octapeptide.[27] Subsequently, Han et al.[28] were able to reverse acupuncture tolerance by an intraventricular injection of cholecystokinin antiserum in a group of rats which received continuous 6-h EA stimulation.

Guo et al.[29,30] investigated whether high-frequency EA differs from low-frequency EA in gene expression using *c-fos* as a marker of activation in various parts of the rat brain. These investigators found that low-frequency EA resulted in much higher *c-fos* expression in the arcuate nucleus when compared with that after high-frequency stimulation, and when compared with that after simple needle insertion into an acupoint without electrical stimulation in a control group. *In situ* hybridization studies revealed that low-frequency stimulation increased the expression of messenger RNA for the enkephalin precursor protein, whereas high-frequency stimulation increased the expression of mRNA for the dynorphin precursor protein. Thus, there appear to be differential effects of low versus high-frequency EA stimulation on *c-fos* expression, as well as on the transcription of mRNA by various opioid genes in the brain. However, *c-fos* expression can also be caused by nonspecific stimulations (e.g., immobilization or handling of the animal). Furthermore, mRNA levels may not correlate with actual peptide levels. It is important to note that while these studies suggest EA analgesia is at least partly mediated through endogenous opioids, further work is required. For example, it is possible that acupuncture needles simply function as electrodes, and that the endogenous opioid production is a result of electrostimulation with no relationship to acupuncture.

Pan et al.[31–33] studied whether there is an overlap of central pathways between noxious stimulation and acupuncture stimulation in rats. These investigators found that noxious stimulation (caused by immersing the footpad into 52°C water) and EA (4 Hz) both induced *c-fos* expression in the anterior lobe of the pituitary gland and in the arcuate nucleus as well as in nearby hypothalamic nuclei. These researchers also found similar *c-fos* expression in the anterior lobe of the pituitary gland in response to immobilization stress in awake rats. It seems that, although the anterior pituitary cells that respond to stress are activated by both acupuncture and pain stimulation, the mechanism of pituitary cell activation seems distinct from the activation occurring in stress because different hypothalamus nuclei are involved.[31] A follow-up study by the same research team was conducted to identify the function of these activated pituitary cells.[32] The investigators found that *fos*-immunoreactive cells activated by noxious stimulation or EA, co-localized with adrenocorticotropic hormone or thyroid-stimulating hormone, and that noxious stimulation and EA were associated with a similar rise in plasma adrenocorticotropic hormone and β-endorphin. At the hypothalamic level, *c-fos* expression was increased in the mediobasal nuclei (mainly arcuate nucleus and adjacent nuclei) and in the paraventricular nucleus after EA stimulation, but not after noxious stimulation. These data suggested that both somatosensory noxious input and EA activate the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis analogous to stress, but with a specific activation of the mediobasal hypothalamic nuclei, and no activation of intermediate lobe.

Pan et al.[33] confirmed that intact nociceptive primary afferent input is needed to transmit both EA and noxious stimulation signals to the CNS. These investigators found that neither noxious stimulation nor EA stimulation activated the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis or increased plasma ACTH in rats after sensory deafferentation by subcutaneous capsaicin injection to eliminate nociceptive primary afferent input. In contrast, immobilization stress caused a decrease in c-*fos* activation in the hypothalamic pituitary, with no decrease in plasma ACTH.[33] Thus, both noxious stimulation (i.e., pain) and EA activated the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in a similar fashion. Thus, there appears to be a significant overlap in pain and acupuncture central pathways.

Choi et al.[34] studied the effects of three frequencies of EA (2, 15, and 120 Hz) on chemically induced inflammation of the rat hindpaw. These investigators found that the edema and mechanical sensitivity of rats' hindpaws were strongly inhibited by EA through modulating expression of ionotropic glutamate receptors, particularly N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. Unfortunately, there was no sham-control intervention in this study. Therefore, the phenomena observed may not directly relate to acupuncture alone.

Several conclusions can be made based on the above neurophysiologic studies. First, afferent nociceptive pathways are essential for acupuncture analgesia. Second, acupuncture analgesia is mediated by way of various endogenous neurotransmitters, systemic release of enkephalin and dynorphin, and probably by decreasing the local inflammatory response via N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. Third, the acupuncture-induced increase in pain threshold is gradual, with a peak effect at 20–40 min, followed by an exponential decay with a half-life of approximately 16 min. Fourth, a prolonged period of acupuncture stimulation results in tolerance that is mediated via release of cholecystokinin octapeptide. Lastly, immunocytochemistry studies indicate that both pain and acupuncture activate the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis.

CNS Imaging Studies

Over the last decade, advanced imaging technologies have been introduced, including positron emission tomography (PET), single-proton emission computer tomography (SPECT), and functional magnetic resonance imaging (*f*MRI). These powerful imaging technologies have made it possible to noninvasively visualize the anatomic and functional effects of acupuncture stimulation in the human brain.

PET Studies

Using PET imaging, Alavi et al.[35] observed that a group of patients who suffered from chronic pain also had asymmetry of the thalamus. This thalamic asymmetry disappeared after acupuncture treatment. One should note, however, that the study did not include a sham-control group. As a result, the PET-related changes do not necessarily indicate a cause-effect relationship.

The "De Qi" sensation is frequently described by patients as soreness, numbness, ache, fullness, or warm sensation that is achieved during manipulation of the acupuncture needles.[1,2] This sensation coincides with acupuncturists describing a feeling of the needle being caught as it is twirled (e.g., the "fish took the bait" or "the needle is stuck to a magnet").[36] Wang et al.[37] suggested that type II afferent fibers are responsible for the sensation of numbness, type III afferent fibers are responsible for fullness (heavy, mild aching), and type IV afferent fibers are responsible for soreness. Hsieh et al.[38] used PET images to visualize the effect of De Qi sensation. This study compared acupuncture stimulation at a frequency of 2 Hz that was associated with a De Qi sensation at LI4 (Fig. 1) to the same stimulation at a sham-acupuncture point as well as to superficial insertion of a needle with minimal stimulation at LI4 *and* to a superficial insertion of

a needle at a sham-acupuncture point. The investigators found that only acupuncture stimulation at LI4 with De Qi sensation activated the hypothalamus. Thus, the De Qi at an acupuncture point appears to be the conscious perception of the nociceptive input from the acupuncture stimulation. Biella et al.[39] sequentially applied acupuncture and sham acupuncture at bilateral ST36 (Fig. 2) and LU5 (Fig. 1) during a PET scanning sequence and found that acupuncture, but not sham treatment, activated the left anterior cingulum, superior frontal gyrus, bilateral cerebellum, and insula, as well as the right medial and inferior frontal gyri. These are the same areas activated by acute and chronic pain.[40-48] This finding suggests a possible mechanism for acupuncture analgesia.

Pariente et al.[49] suggested that, in addition to the direct analgesic effect of acupuncture, the anticipation and belief of a patient might also affect the level of therapeutic outcome. Using PET image, these investigators reported that both true and sham acupuncture activated the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulated cortex, and midbrain. The investigators suggested that these CNS areas are involved in nonspecific factors such as expectation. The investigators also found, however, that only true acupuncture caused a greater activation in insula ipsilateral to the site of stimulation. Based on the above, one can conclude that the insula region of the brain has a specific role in acupuncture analgesia.

SPECT Studies

Newberg et al.[50] used radioisotope hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime to image the brain of patients suffering from chronic pain and healthy volunteers without pain. The investigators found significant asymmetric uptake in the thalamic regions of patients with chronic pain, but not in the healthy control group. After 20–25 min of acupuncture stimulation, another hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime was administered to these patients and a repeated SPECT study showed that the original asymmetry reversed or normalized after acupuncture therapy that coincided with the reduction of pain. This finding is analogous to the findings in PET studies reported by Alavi et al.[35]

fMRI Studies
Manual Acupuncture Stimulation. Wu et al.[51] found that traditional acupuncture stimulation activated the hypothalamus and nucleus accumbens, but deactivated the rostral part of the anterior cingulate cortex, the amygdale formation, and the hippocampal complex. In contrast, minimal acupuncture activated the supplementary motor area and anterior cingulate cortex and frontal as well as parietal operculum. Superficial pricking induced activation at the primary somatosensory cortex, the thalamus, and the anterior cingulate cortex. Hui et al.[52] found that needle manipulation associated with the De Qi sensation deactivated the nucleus accumbens, hypothalamus,

amygdale, hippocampus, para hippocampus, ventral tegmental area, anterior cingular gyrus, caudate, putamen, temporal lobe, and insula. In a follow-up fMRI study, Hui et al.[53] explored the subjective psychophysical perceptions (mainly, the conscious perception of the nociceptive input from the acupuncture stimulation) in relation to the CNS responses. They found that subjects who experienced De Qi deactivated the frontal pole, ventromedial prefrontal cortex, cingulate cortex, hypothalamus, reticular formation, and the cerebellar vermis. Subjects who experienced pain instead of De Qi sensation activated the anterior cingular gyrus, caudate, putamen, and anterior thalamus. When these subjects experienced both De Qi and pain, the CNS responses were mixed with predominance of activation at the frontal pole, anterior, middle, and posterior cingulate (Fig. 4). Based on the above studies, these investigators suggest that acupuncture and pain may share similar central pathways, but CNS activities triggered by these two stimulations are opposite to each other. Support for this hypothesis is provided by an fMRI study that showed that EA stimulation can modify signals generated by experimental cold pain stimulation.[54]

Of note are the reported discrepancies between the findings of Wu et al. and Hui et al. with respect to the effect of acupuncture on the hypothalamus and nucleus accumbens. There are several possible reasons for the discrepancies between the two studies. First, duration of acupuncture stimulation was different between these studies (1 min vs 2 min). Second, the conscious perceptions of nociceptive input from acupuncture stimulation experienced by study subjects might be different between these studies. Finally, there might be differences in methodology of fMRI image analysis e.g., correction of motion artifact and threshold setting for noise between these two laboratories.

Ulett et al.[6] suggested in 1998 that the periaqueductal gray (PAG) region in the brainstem is associated with perception and modulation of noxious stimuli and has an important role in acupuncture analgesia. In an effort to explore these issues using fMRI technology, Liu et al.[55] applied acupuncture stimulation to healthy volunteers at LI4 and observed that PAG activity increased with the increasing length of stimulation, with the activated areas ranging from the left ventral to left dorsal lateral to dorsal medial regions. The frequency of activation of PAG after stimulation of the LI4 was calculated by averaging the total number of activations per run (every run consisted four 30-s periods of "acupuncture on"). These investigators also observed that stimulation at a nonacupuncture point resulted in reduction of PAG activity.
EA Stimulation. Wu et al.[56] reported that both true and sham EA stimulation at a common analgesic acupoint, gallbladder 34 (Fig. 2), activated regions of pain central pathways on fMRI.[56] The investigators noted, however, that only true EA stimulation activated the hypothalamus, the primary somatosensory

Figure 4. The influence of subjective sensations on fMRI signal changes on major limbic structures, the secondary somatosensory cortex (SII) and the cerebellum during acupuncture at ST 36. Regions of interest are denoted by yellow arrowheads. (Left) Acupuncture with *deqi* sensations (*N* = 11). (Middle) Acupuncture with mixed sensations of *deqi* and sharp pain (*N* = 4). (Right) Sensory control (*N* = 5). (Row A) The amygdala showed signal decrease with acupuncture *deqi*, increase with sensory stimulation and no significant change with acupuncture mixed sensations. (Row B) The hippocampus, bottom arrows, showed signal decrease with acupuncture *deqi*, and no significant change otherwise. (Row C) SII, also shown by the right arrows in Row B, shows signal increase under all three stimulations. Acupuncture, being a form of sensory stimulation, would be expected to result in signal increases in SII, which is in stark contrast to the widespread signal decreases during acupuncture *deqi*. (Row D) With acupuncture *deqi*, the cerebellum showed signal decreases in the vermis and lobules VI and VII. With sensory control, the lateral hemisphere showed signal increases. Reproduced with permission from Hui et al., Neuroimage, 2005, 27, 479–96, ©Academic Press.

cortex and the motor cortex, and *deactivated* the rostral segment of the anterior cingulate cortex. These investigators concluded that the hypothalamus-limbic system was modulated by EA stimulation.

To investigate the direct modulatory effects of EA stimulation in pain responses, Zhang et al.[54] studied a group of healthy volunteers using *f*MRI scanning during experimental cold pain with real or sham EA stimulation. Only the subjects who received EA reported a reduction of pain. The brain images obtained by Zhang et al. showed an acupuncture-induced increased activation in the bilateral somatosensory area, medial prefrontal cortices and Brodmann area (BA32), and a decreased activation in the contralateral primary somatosensory areas BA7 and BA24 (anterior cingulated gyrus). With sham stimulation, there was no observed decrease in pain intensity or *f*MRI image changes. As these areas are frequently involved in pain stimulation, Zhang et al. concluded that EA induces analgesic effects via modulation of both the sensory and emotional aspects of pain processing. This study again demonstrates

Table 1. The Areas of Brain Affected by Acupuncture Stimulation in Imaging Studies

Limbic system
  Cigular gyrus[39,50–54,56,57]
  Amygadala[51,52,54]
  Parahippocampal gryus[51,52]
  Hippocampal gyrus[51,52,57]
Insula[38,49,52,53,56,57]
Periaquductal gray[38,55]
Thalamus[35,39,50,54,56,57]
Hypothalamus[38,39,51,56]
Basal ganglia
  Putamen[52–54]
  Caudate[52,53]
  Neuclus accumben[39,51–53]
Cerebellum[38,39,52–54,56]
Brain stem
  Substantis nigra[53]
  Reticular formation[53]
  Pontine nuclei[53]
  Dorsal raphe[53]
Somatosenssory II[49,50,52–54,57]

Figure 5. The Limbic System and Adjacent Structures related to acupuncture stimulation. Structures affected by acupuncture stimulations are labeled "+" representing an increase in hemodynamic signals; "−" represents a decrease in hemodynamic signals; "+, −" represents an increased or decreased signal depending on study; "±" represents some regions of this structure that have an increase in signal and some areas that have a decrease in signal, and "ne" represents no effect.

that the hypothalamus-limbic system plays an important role in acupuncture analgesia.

An fMRI study by Zhang et al.[57] found that the low-frequency (2 Hz) EA stimulations activated the contralateral primary motor area, supplementary motor area, and ipsilateral superior temporal gyrus, while deactivating the bilateral hippocampus. In contrast, these investigators found that high-frequency (100 Hz) EA stimulations activated the contralateral inferior parietal lobules, ipsilateral anterior cingulate cortex, nucleus accumbens and pons, while deactivating the contralateral amygdala. Therefore, one can conclude that low and high-frequency EA stimulation appear to be mediated by different brain networks. Thus, alternating high/low-frequency EA stimulations may provide the additional analgesia benefit by activating both systems simultaneously.[24,58–62]

Studies Comparing Different Acupuncture Stimulations. Napadow et al.[63] compared manual acupuncture, EA at 2 and 100 Hz, and tactile control stimulation at ST36 in a group of healthy volunteers. They reported that low-frequency EA produced more widespread fMRI signal changes than manual acupuncture stimulation. Not surprisingly, both EA and manual acupuncture produced more widespread responses than simple tactile stimulation. These investigators also found that although acupuncture stimulation activated the anterior insula, it deactivated the limbic and paralimbic structures that include the amygdala, anterior hippocampus, cortices of the subgenual and retrocingulate, ventromedial prefrontal cortex, and frontal and temporal lobes.[63] EA at both high and low frequencies produced a significant signal increase in the anterior middle cingulate cortex; however, only low-frequency EA produced activation at the raphe area. Therefore, fMRI studies support the hypothesis that the limbic system is central to acupuncture-induced analgesia regardless of the specific modalities.

Several conclusions can be made based on the above CNS imaging studies. First, the hypothalamus may play a central role in acupuncture analgesia. Second, the significant overlap between acupuncture and pain CNS pathways suggests that acupuncture stimulation may affect pain signals processed in the CNS. Third, superficial needling and traditional acupuncture needling activate two different central pathways and yet both provide clinical analgesia.[64–66]

Future studies should on their effects in releasing different opioid-like substances as well as differences in the level of pain relief. The majority of neuroimaging studies in acupuncture are merely explorations of acupuncture signal network. The clinical relevance of data obtained from these studies is unclear. Indeed, participants in a recent conference held by the NIH indicated that standardization of performing and reporting acupuncture neuroimaging results and data sharing between laboratories must be improved.[67]

SUMMARY

Physiological and imaging studies are providing insight into the neurophysiological mechanism of acupuncture analgesia. Recent data suggest that acupuncture triggers a sequence of events involving the release of endogenous opioid-like substances, including enkephalin, β-endorphin, and endomorphin, that modulate pain signals processed along the pathway. Imaging studies demonstrate that the limbic system plays an important role in acupuncture-induced analgesia, as summarized in Table 1 and Figure 5. Future studies will continue to enhance our insight into the mechanism of this ancient analgesic modality.

REFERENCES

1. Liu G, Akira H. Basic principle of TCM. In: Liu G, Akira H, eds. Fundamentals of acupuncture and moxibustion. Tianjin, China: Tianjin Science and Technology Translation and Publishing Corporation, 1994

2. Pomeranz B. Scientific basis of acupuncture. In: Stux G, Pomeranz B, eds. Basis of acupuncture. 4th ed. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1998

3. Chernyak G, Sessler DI. Perioperative acupuncture and related techniques. Anesthesiology 2005;102:1031–49

4. NIH consensus developmental panel on acupuncture. JAMA 1998;280:1518–24

5. Astin JA, Marie A, Pelletier KR, Hansen E, Haskell WL. A review of the incorporation of complementary and alternative medicine by mainstream physicians. Arch Intern Med 1998;158:2303–10

6. Ulett GA, Han S, Han JS. Electroacupuncture: mechanisms and clinical application. Biol Psychiatry 1998;44:129–38

7. Han JS. Acupuncture and endorphins. Neurosci Lett 2004; 361:258–61

8. Pomeranz B, Chiu D. Naloxone blockade of acupuncture analgesia: endorphin implicated. Life Sci 1976;19:1757–62

9. Research Group of Acupuncture Anesthesia PMC. The effect of acupuncture on human skin pain threshold. Chin Med J 1973;3:151–7

10. Lim T, Loh T, Kranz H, Scott D. Acupuncture-effect on normal subjects. Med J Aust 1977;1:440–2

11. Research Group of Acupuncture Anesthesia PMC. The role of some neurotransmitters of brain in finger acupuncture analgesia. Sci Sin 1974;117:112–30

12. Clement-Jones V, McLoughlin L, Corder R, Lowry PJ, Besser GM, Rees LH, Wen HL. Increased beta-endorphin but not met-enkephalin levels in human cerebrospinal fluid after acupuncture for recurrent pain. Lancet 1980;2:946–9

13. Cheng RSS, Pomeranz B. Monoaminergic mechanism of electroacupuncture analgesia. Brain Res 1981;215:77–92

14. Cheng RS, Pomeranz B. Electroacupuncture analgesia is mediate by sterospecific opiate receptors and is reversed by antagonists of type I receptors. Life Sci 1980;26:631–8

15. Wu B. [Effect of acupuncture on the regulation of cell-mediated immunity in patients with malignant tumors]. Zhen Ci Yan Jiu 1995;20:67–71

16. Han JS, Terenius L. Neurochemical basis of acupuncture analgesia. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 1982;22:193–220

17. Horrigan B. Acupuncture and the Raison D'etre for alterative medicine. Altern Ther Health Med 1996;2:85–91

18. Chen L, Tang J, White PF, Sloninsky A, Wender RH, Naruse R, Kariger R. The effect of location of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on postoperative opioid analgesic requirement: acupoint versus non-acupoint stimulation. Anesth Analg 1998;87:1129–34

19. Sjolund BH, Ericksson M. Increased cerebrospinal fluid levels of endorphins after electroacupuncture. Acta Physiol Scand 1977;100:382–4

20. Mayer DJ. Antagonism of acupuncture analgesia in man by the narcotic antagonist naloxone. Brain Res 1977;121:368–72

21. Lee JH, Beitz AJ. The distribution of brain-stem and spinal cord nuclei associated with different frequencies of electroacupuncture analgesia. Pain 1993;52:11–28

22. Peets J, Pomeranz B. CXBK mice deficient in opiate receptors show poor electroacupuncture analgesia. Nature 1978;273:675–6

23. Han JS. Acupuncture: neuropeptide release produced by electrical stimulation of different frequencies. Neuroscience 2003;26:17–22

24. Han JS, Sun SL. Differential release of enkephalin and dynorphin by low and high frequency electroacupuncture in the central nervous system. Acupunct Sci Int J 1990;1:19–27

25. Tang J, Liang XN, Zhang WQ, Han JS. Acupuncture tolerance and morphine tolerance in rats. In: National Symposia of acupuncture and moxibustion, Acupuncture Anesthesia, Beijing, China, 1979:491–2

26. Han JS, Tang J. Tolerance to electroacupuncture and its cross tolerance to morphine. Neuropharmacology 1981;20:593–6

27. Han JS, Tang J, Huang BS, Liang XN, Zhang NH. Acupuncture tolerance in rats: antiopiate substrates implicated. Chin Med J 1979;92:625–7

28. Han JS, Ding XZ, Fan SG. Is cholecystokinin octapeptide (CCK-8) a candidate for endogenous anti-opioid substrates? Neuropeptides 1985;5:399–402

29. Guo HF, Tian JH, Wang X, Fang Y, Hou Y, Han J. Brain substrates activated by electroacupuncture of different frequencies. I. Comparative study on the expression of oncogene c-fos and genes coding for three opioid peptides. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 1996;43:157–66

30. Guo H, Tian JH, Wang X, Fang Y, Hou Y, Han J. Brain substrates activated by electroacupuncture (EA) of different frequencies. II. Role of Fos/Jun proteins in EA-induced transcription of pre-proenkephalin and pre-prodynorphin genes. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 1996;43:167–73

31. Pan B, Castro-Lopes J, Coimbra A. Activation of anterior lobe corticotrophs by electroacupuncture or noxious stimulation in the anaesthetized rat, as shown by colocalization of Fos protein with ACTH and beta-endorphin and increased hormone release. Brain Res Bull 1996;40:175–82

32. Pan B, Castro-Lopes J, Coimbra A. C-fos expression in the hypothalamo-pituitary system induced by electroacupuncture or noxious stimulation. Neuroreport 1994;5:1649–52

33. Pan B, Castro-Lopes J, Coimbra A. Chemical sensory deafferentation abolishes hypothalamic pituitary activation induced by noxious stimulation or electroacupuncture but only decreases that caused by immobilization stress. A c-fos study. Neuroscience 1997;78:1059–68

34. Choi B, Kang J, Jo U. Effects of electroacupuncture with different frequencies on spinal ionotropic glutamate receptor expression in complete Freud's adjuvant-injected rat. Acta Histochem 2005;107:67–76

35. Alavi A, LaRiccia P, Sadek Ah, Newberg AS, Lee L, Teich H, Lattanand C, Mozley PD. Neuroimaging of acupuncture in patients with chronic pain. J Altern Complement Med 1997;3:S41–53

36. Langevin HM, Churchill DL, Fox JR, Badger GJ, Garra BS, Krag MH. Biomechanical response to acupuncture needling in humans. J Appl Physiol 2001;91:2471–8

37. Wang K, Yao S, Xian Y, Hou Z. A study on the receptive field on acupoints and the relationship between characteristics of needle sesnation and groups of afferent fibres. Sci Sin 1985;28:963–71

38. Hsieh JC, Tu CH, Chen FP, Chen MC, Yeh TC, Cheng HC, Wu YT, Liu RS, Ho LT. Activation of the hypothalamus characterizes the acupuncture stimulation at the analgesic point in human: a positron emission tomography study. Neurosci Lett 2001;307:105–8

39. Biella G, Sotgiu ML, Pellegata G, Paulesu E, Castiglioni I, Fazio F. Acupuncture produces central activations in pain regions. Neuroimage 2001;14:60–6

40. Adler L, Gyulai F, Diehl D, Mintun MA, Winter PM, Firestone LL. Regional brain activity changes associated with fentanyl analgesia elucidated by positron emission tomography. Anesth Analg 1997;84:120–6

41. Anderson JL, Lilja A, Hartvig P, Langstrom B, Gordh T, Handwerker H, Torebjork E. Somatotopic organization along the central sulcus, for pain localization in humans as revealed by positron emission tomography. Exp Brain Res 1997;117:192–9

42. Casey K, Minoshima S, Morrow T, Koeppe R. Comparison of human cerebral activation pattern during cutaneous warmth, heat pain, and deep cold pain. J Neurophysiol 1996;76:571–81

43. Casey KL. Forebrain mechanisms of nociception and pain: analysis through imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96: 7668–74

44. Coghill RC, Talbot JD, Evans AC, Meyer E, Gjedde A, Bushnell MC, Duncan GH. Distributed processing of pain and vibration of the insular cortex. J Neurosci 1994;14:4095–108

45. Craig A, Chen K, Bandy D, Reiman E. Thermosensory activation of the insular cortex. Nat Neurosci 2000;3:184–90

46. Derbyshire SW, Jones AK, Devani P, Friston KJ, Feinmann C, Harris M, Pearce S, Watson JD, Frackowiak RS. Cerebral responses to pain in patients with atypical facial pain measured by positron emission tomography. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1994;57:1166–72

47. Paulson P, Minoshima S, Morrow T, Casey KL. Gender differences in pain perception and patterns of cerebral activation during noxious heat stimulation in humans. Pain 1998;76:223–9

48. Rainville P, Duncan G, Price D, Carrier B. Pain affect encoded in human anterior cingulate but not somatosensory cortex. Science 1997;277:968–71

49. Pariente J, White P, Frackowiak RSJ, Lewith G. Expectancy and belief modulate the neuronal substrates of pain treated by acupuncture. Neuroimage 2005;25:1161–7

50. Newberg AB, Lariccia PJ, Lee BY, Farrar JT, Lee L, Alavi A. Cerebral blood flow effects of pain and acupuncture: a preliminary single-photon emission computed tomography imaging study. J Neuroimaging 2005;15:43–9

51. Wu MT, Hsieh JC, Xiong J, Yang CF, Pan HB, Chen YC, Tsai G, Rosen BR, Kwong KK. Central nervous pathway for acupuncture stimulation: localization of processing with functional MR imaging of the brain—preliminary experience. Radiology 1999;212:133–41

52. Hui KKS, Liu J, Makris N, Gollub RL, Chen AJ, Moore CI, Kennedy DN, Rosen BR, Kwong KK. Acupuncture modulates the limbic system and subcortical gray structures of the human brain: evidence from fMRI studies in normal subjects. Hum Brain Mapp 2000;9:13–25

53. Hui KKS, Liu J, Marina O, Napadow V, Haselgrove C, Kwong KK, Kennedy DN, Makris N. The integrated response of the human cerebro-cerebellar and limbic systems to acupuncture stimulation at ST 36 as evidenced by fMRI. Neuroimage 2005;27:479–96

54. Zhang W, Jin Z, Huang J, Ahang YW, Luo F, Chen AC, Han JS. Modulation of cold pain in human brain by electric acupoint stimulation: evidence from fMRI. Neuroreport 2003;14:1591–6

55. Liu WC, Feldman SC, Cook DB, Hung DL, Xu T, Kalnin AJ, Komisaruk BR. fMRI study of acupuncture-induced periaqueductal gray activity in humans. Neuroreport 2004;15:1937–40

56. Wu MT, Sheen JM, Chuang KH, Yang P, Chin SL, Tsai CY, Chen CJ, Liao JR, Lai PH, Chu KA, Pan HB, Yang CF. Neuronal specificity of acupuncture response: an fMRI study with electroacupuncture. Neuroimage 2002;16:1028–37

57. Zhang WT, Jin Z, Cui GH, Zhang KL, Zhang L, Zeng YW, Luo F, Chen AC. Relations between brain network activation and analgesic effect induced by low vs. high frequency electrical acupoint stimulation in different subjects: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Brain Res 2003;982:168–78

58. Hamza MA, White PF, Ahmend HE, Ghoname ES. Effect of the frequency of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on the postoperative opioid analgesic requirement and recovery profile. Anesthesiology 1999;91:1232–8

59. Ghoname ES, Craing WF, White PF, Ahmed HE, Hamze MA, Gajraj NM, Vakaria AS, Noe CE. The effect of stimulus frequency on the analgesic response to percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in patients with chronic low back pain. Anesth Analg 1999;88:841–6

60. Lin JG, Lo MW, Wen YR, Hsieh CL, Tsai SK, Sun WZ. The effect of high and low frequency electroacupuncture in pain after lower abdominal surgery. Pain 2002;99:509–14

61. Han JS, Chen XH, Sun SL, Xu XJ, Yuan Y, Yan SC, Hao JX, Terenius L. Effect of low- and high-frequency TENS on Met-enkephalin-Arg-Phe and dynorphin A immunoreactivity in human lumbar CSF. Pain 1991;47:295–8

62. Wang Y, Zhang Y, Wang W, Cao Y, Han JS. Effects of synchronous or ashynchronous electroacupuncture stimulation with low versus high frequency on spinal opioid release and tail flick nociception. Exp Neurol 2005;192:156–62

63. Napadow V, Makris N, Liu J, Kettner NW, Kwong KK, Hui KK. Effects of electroacupuncture versus manual acupuncture on the human brain as measured by fMRI. Hum Brain Mapp 2005;24:193–205

64. Birch S, Jamison RN. Controlled trial of Japanese acupuncture for chronic myofascial neck pain: assessment of specific and nonspecific effects of treatment. Clin J Pain 1998;14:248–55

65. Kotani N, Hashimoto H, Sato Y, Sessler DI, Yoshioka H, Kitayama M, Yasuda T, Matsuki A. Preoperative intradermal acupuncture reduces postoperative pain, nausea and vomiting, analgesic requirement, and sympathoadrenal responses. Anesthesiology 2001;95:349–56

66. Usichenko T, Dinse M, Hermsen M, Witstruck T, Pavlovic D, Lehmann C. Auricular acupuncture for pain relief after total hip arthroplasty—a randomized controlled study. Pain 2005;114:320–7

67. Napadow V, Webb M, Hammerschlag R. Neurobiological correlations of acupuncture: November 17–18, 2005. J Altern Complement Med 2006;12:931–5